


Automated Planning and Acting

Deterministic Models



Content

1. Planning and Acting with **Deterministic Models**
 - a. State-variable representation
 - b. Forward State-Space Search
 - c. Heuristic Functions
 - d. Backward Search
 - e. Plan-Space Search
2. Planning and Acting with **Refinement Methods**
3. Planning and Acting with **Temporal Models**
4. Planning and Acting with **Nondeterministic Models**
5. **Standard Decision Making**
6. Planning and Acting with **Probabilistic Models**
7. **Advanced Decision Making**
8. **Human-aware Planning**

Outline per the Book

2.1 *State-variable representation*

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 *Forward state-space search*

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 *Heuristic functions*

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 *Incorporating planning into an actor*

- Online lookahead, unexpected events

2.4 *Backward search*

- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state

2.5 *Plan-space search*

- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

Motivation


- How to model a complex environment?
 - Generally need simplifying **assumptions**
- **Classical planning**
 - **Finite, static world**
 - Change occurs only when the actor causes it
 - **No concurrent actions, no explicit time**
 - Just a sequence of states and actions $\langle s_0, a_1, s_1, a_2, s_2, \dots \rangle$
 - **Determinism, no uncertainty**
 - Can predict exactly what each action will do
 - No accidents, no “chance” outcomes
- Avoids a lot of complications
 - But most real-world environments don't satisfy the assumptions
- Errors in prediction
 - OK if they're infrequent and don't have severe consequences

Domain Model

- **State-transition system** (or *classical planning domain*)
 - $\Sigma = (S, A, \gamma, cost)$; *cost* is optional
 - S - finite set of **states** that the system may be in
 - A - finite set of **actions**: things the actor can do
 - $\gamma : S \times A \rightarrow S$ - **prediction function** (or *state-transition function*)
 - **partial** function: $\gamma(s, a)$ isn't defined unless a is **applicable** in s
 - $Dom(a) = \{s \in S \mid \gamma(s, a) \text{ is defined}\} = \{s \in S \mid a \text{ applicable in } s\}$ (domain)
 - $\mathcal{R}(a) = \{\gamma(s, a) \mid s \in Dom(a)\}$ (range)
 - $cost : S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ -or- $cost : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$
 - Could be monetary cost, time required, something else
 - Often omitted from Σ ; default is $cost(a) = 1$
- **Classical planning problem**: $P = (\Sigma, s_0, S_g)$
 - (planning domain, initial state, set of goal states)
 - $s_0 \in S, S_g \subseteq S$
- **Solution** for P : a sequence of actions called **plan** that will produce a state in S_g

Representing Σ

- If S and A are small enough
 - Give each state and action a name
 - For each s and a , store $\gamma(s, a)$ in a lookup table
- In larger domains, don't represent all states explicitly
 - Language for describing properties of states
 - Language for describing how each action changes those properties
 - Start with initial state, use actions to produce other states




Domain-specific Representation

- Made to order for a specific environment
- State: arbitrary data structure
- Action: (head, preconditions, effects, cost)
 - **head**: name and parameter list
 - Get actions by instantiating the parameters
 - **preconditions**:
 - Computational tests to predict whether an action can be performed in a state s
 - Should be necessary/sufficient for the action to run without error
 - **effects**:
 - Procedures that modify the current state
 - **cost**: procedure that returns a number
 - Can be omitted, default is cost $\equiv 1$


Example

- Drilling holes in a metal workpiece
 - A state
 - Geometric model of the workpiece, information about its location and orientation
 - Capabilities and status of drilling machine and drill bit
 - Several actions
 - Putting the workpiece onto the drilling machine
 - Clamping it
 - Loading a drill bit
 - Drilling (next slide)



Drilling

- Name and parameters:
 - drill-hole(*machine, drill-bit, workpiece, geometry, machining-tolerances*)
- Preconditions
 - Can the drilling machine and drill bit produce a hole having the desired geometry and machining tolerances?
 - Is the drill bit installed? Is the workpiece clamped onto the drilling platform?
Etc.
- Effects
 - Geometric model of new workpiece geometry,
annotated with tolerances
- Cost
 - Estimate of time or monetary cost




Discussion

- Advantage of domain-specific representation:
 - Can choose whatever works best for that particular domain
- Disadvantage:
 - For each new domain, need new representation and deliberation algorithms
- Alternative: **domain-independent** representation
 - Try to create a “standard format” that can be used for many different planning domains
 - Deliberation algorithms that work for anything in this format
- **State-variable** representation
 - Simple formats for describing states and actions
 - Limited representational capability
 - But easy to compute, easy to reason about
 - Domain-independent search algorithms and heuristic functions that can be used in all state-variable planning problems


State-Variable Representation

- E : environment that we want to represent
- B : set of objects
 - Names for objects in E , mathematical constants, ...
 - Only needs to include objects that matter at current level of abstraction
- Example (slightly different from the book)
 - $B = \text{Robots} \cup \text{Containers} \cup \text{Locs} \cup \{\text{nil}\}$
 - $\text{Robots} = \{r1, r2\}$
 - $\text{Containers} = \{c1, c2\}$
 - $\text{Locs} = \{d1, d2, d3\}$
- Can omit lots of details
 - E.g., physical characteristics of robots, containers, loading docks, roads




Properties of Objects

- Define ways to represent properties of objects
 - Two kinds of properties: **rigid** and **varying**
 - Sets of rigid properties R and varying properties X
- **Rigid** property: n -ary relation r over B
 - Stays the same in every state
 - Representation
 - As a mathematical relation
 - $adj = \{(d1, d2), (d2, d1), (d1, d3), (d3, d1)\}$
 - As a set of ground atoms
 - $adj(d1, d2), adj(d2, d1), adj(d1, d3), adj(d3, d1)$



Varying Properties

- Varying property x (or fluent)
 - May differ in different states
 - Represent it using a state variable to assign a value to
- Set of state variables $X = \{loc(r1), loc(r2), loc(c1), loc(c2), cargo(r1), cargo(r2)\}$
- Each state variable $x \in X$ has a range
$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \{\text{all values that can be assigned to } x\}$$
 - $\mathcal{R}(loc(r1)) = \mathcal{R}(loc(r2)) = Locs$
 - $\mathcal{R}(loc(c1)) = \mathcal{R}(loc(c2)) = Robots \cup Locs$
 - $\mathcal{R}(cargo(r1)) = \mathcal{R}(cargo(r2)) = Containers \cup \{nil\}$
 - $cargo(r)$: robot r has a cargo



States as Functions

- Represent each state as a **variable-assignment function**
 - Function that maps each $x \in X$ to a value in $\mathcal{R}(x)$
$$s_1(loc(r1)) = d1, \quad s_1(loc(r2)) = d2,$$


$$s_1(cargo(r1)) = nil, \quad s_1(cargo(r2)) = nil,$$

$$s_1(loc(c1)) = d1, \quad s_1(loc(c2)) = d2$$
- Mathematically, a function is a set of ordered pairs

$$s_1 = \{(loc(r1), d1), (cargo(r1), nil), (loc(c1), d1), \dots\}$$
- Write it as a set of **ground positive literals** (or *ground atoms*):


$$s_1 = \{loc(r1) = d1, cargo(r1) = nil,$$

$$loc(r2) = d2, cargo(r2) = nil,$$

$$loc(c1) = d1, loc(c2) = d2\}$$


States as Functions

- Let s be a variable-assignment function
 - s is a state only if it has a sensible meaning in our intended environment E
 - **Interpretation:** a function I
 - Maps each $b \in B$ to an object in E
 - Maps each $r \in R$ to a rigid property in E
 - Maps each $x \in X$ to a varying property in E
- **State:** a variable-assignment function s such that $I(s)$ can occur in E
 - **State space** $S = \{\text{all possible states}\}$




Action Templates

- Action **template** α : a parameterized action representing a set of actions
 $\alpha = (\text{head}(\alpha), \text{pre}(\alpha), \text{eff}(\alpha), \text{cost}(\alpha))$
- $\text{head}(\alpha)$: **name, parameters**
 - Each parameter has a range $\subseteq B$
- $\text{pre}(\alpha)$: **precondition** literals
 - $\text{rel}(t_1, \dots, tk)$
 - $\text{var}(t_1, \dots, tk) = t_0$
 - $\neg \text{rel}(t_1, \dots, tk)$
 - $\neg \text{var}(t_1, \dots, tk) = t_0$
 - Each t_i is a parameter or an element of B
- $\text{eff}(\alpha)$: **effect** literals
 - $\text{var}(t_1, \dots, tk) \leftarrow t_0$
- $\text{cost}(\alpha)$: a number
 - Optional, default = 1

- Example

- head: $\text{move}(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $\text{loc}(r) = l, \text{adj}(l, m)$
 - eff: $\text{loc}(r) \leftarrow m$
- Ranges
 - $\mathcal{R}(r) = \text{Robots} = \{r1, r2\}$
 - $\mathcal{R}(l) = \mathcal{R}(m) = \text{Locs} = \{d1, d2, d3\}$
 - $\mathcal{R}(c) = \text{Containers} = \{c1, c2\}$




Actions

- \mathcal{A} = set of action templates
- Example \mathcal{A} :
 - head: $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, adj(l, m)$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
 - head: $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil, loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c, loc(c) \leftarrow r$
 - head: $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil, loc(c) \leftarrow l$
 - Ranges
 - $\mathcal{R}(r) = Robots = \{r1, r2\}$
 - $\mathcal{R}(l) = \mathcal{R}(m) = Locs = \{d1, d2, d3\}$
 - $\mathcal{R}(c) = Containers = \{c1, c2\}$

How many move actions exist?

- Action a : *ground instance* of an action template $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$
 - Replace each parameter t occurring in α with a value from $\mathcal{R}(t)$
- Example action:
 - $move(r1, d1, d2)$
 - pre: $loc(r1) = d1, adj(d1, d2)$
 - eff: $loc(r1) \leftarrow d2$
- Action space A
 $= \{\text{all actions we can get from } \mathcal{A}\}$
 $= \{\text{all ground instances of } a \in \mathcal{A}\}$




Applicability

- Action a is **applicable** in state s if
 - For every positive literal $l \in pre(a)$, l is in s or in one of the rigid relations
 - For every negative literal $\neg l \in pre(a)$, l is not in s nor in any rigid relations
- Example
 - Rigid relation: $adj = \{(d1, d2), (d2, d1), (d1, d3), (d3, d1)\}$
 - State $s_1 = \{cargo(r1) = nil, cargo(r2) = nil, loc(r1) = d1, loc(r2) = d2, loc(c1) = d1, loc(c2) = d2\}$
 - Action template $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, adj(l, m)$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$

How many applicable move actions exist?

- Applicable action in s_1
 - $move(r1, d1, d2)$
 - pre: $loc(r1) = d1, adj(d1, d2)$
 - eff: $loc(r1) \leftarrow d2$
- Not applicable action in s_1
 - $move(r1, d2, d1)$
 - pre: $loc(r1) = d2, adj(d2, d1)$
 - eff: $loc(r1) \leftarrow d1$

Why?




Computing Prediction Function γ

- If action a is applicable in state s , then $\gamma(s, a)$ is computed as:

$$\{(x, w) \mid \text{eff}(a) \text{ contains the effect } x \leftarrow w\}$$

$$\cup \{(x, w) \in s \mid x \text{ isn't the target of any effect in eff}(a)\}$$
- Example: action $\text{take}(r2, d2, c2)$ in state s_1 ; $s_2 = \gamma(s_1, \text{take}(r2, d2, c2))$
 - pre: $\text{cargo}(r2) = \text{nil}, \text{loc}(r2) = d2, \text{loc}(c2) = d2$
 - eff: $\text{cargo}(r2) \leftarrow c2, \text{loc}(c2) \leftarrow r2$

$$s_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cargo}(r1) = \text{nil}, \text{cargo}(r2) = \text{nil}, \\ \text{loc}(r1) = d1, \text{loc}(r2) = d2, \\ \text{loc}(c1) = d1, \text{loc}(c2) = d2 \end{array} \right\} \quad s_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cargo}(r1) = \text{nil}, \text{cargo}(r2) = c2, \\ \text{loc}(r1) = d1, \text{loc}(r2) = d2, \\ \text{loc}(c1) = d1, \text{loc}(c2) = r2 \end{array} \right\}$$




State-Variable Planning Domain

- Let
 - B = finite set of objects
 - R = finite set of rigid relations over B
 - X = finite set of state variables
 - for every state variable x , $\mathcal{R}(x) \subseteq B$
 - S = state space over X
 - = {all variable-assignment functions that have sensible interpretations}
 - \mathcal{A} = finite set of action templates
 - for every parameter t , $\mathcal{R}(t) \subseteq B$
 - A = {all ground instances of action templates in \mathcal{A} }
 - $\gamma(s, a) = \{(x, w) \mid \text{eff}(a) \text{ contains the effect } x \leftarrow w\}$
 $\cup \{(x, w) \in s \mid x \text{ isn't the target of any effect in } \text{eff}(a)\}$
- Then $\Sigma = (S, A, \gamma)$ is a **state-variable planning domain**


Plans

- Plan: sequence of actions $\pi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$
 - $cost(\pi) = \sum_i cost(a_i)$
 - Length of $\pi = n$
- π is applicable in s_0 if the actions in π can be applied in the order given,
 - i.e., there are states s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n s.t.
 $\gamma(s_0, a_1) = s_1, \gamma(s_1, a_2) = s_2, \dots, \gamma(s_{n-1}, a_n) = s_n$
 - If so, then define $\gamma(s_0, \pi) = s_n$
- Example
 - $\pi = \langle move(r1, d3, d1), take(r1, d1, c1), move(r1, d1, d3) \rangle$
 - $cost(\pi) = 3$ (default)
 - Applicable in $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
 - $\gamma(s_0, \pi) = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = c1, loc(c1) = r1\}$



State Space


- Directed graph
 - Nodes = states of the world
 - Edges: γ
- If $\pi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is applicable in s_0 , it produces a **path** $\langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \rangle$
 - $\gamma(s_0, a_1) = s_1$,
 - $\gamma(s_1, a_2) = s_2, \dots,$
 - $\gamma(s_{n-1}, a_n) = s_n$



Planning Problems


- State-variable planning problem $P = (\Sigma, s_0, g)$
 - State-variable representation of a classical planning problem
 - $\Sigma = (S, A, \gamma)$ is a state-variable planning domain
 - $s_0 \in S$ is the initial state
 - g is a set of ground literals called the **goal**
- $S_g = \{\text{all states in } S \text{ that satisfy } g\} = \{s \in S \mid s \cup R \text{ contains every positive literal in } g, \text{ and none of the negative literals in } g\}$
- If $\gamma(s_0, \pi) \in S_g$, then π is a **solution** for P
- Example
 - $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d2, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
 - $adj = \{(d1, d2), (d2, d1), (d1, d3), (d3, d1)\}$
 - $g = \{cargo(r1) = c1\}$
 - $\pi = \langle move(r1, d2, d1), take(r1, d1, c1) \rangle$

How many solutions
of length 3 exist?




Classical Representation

- Motivation
 - The field of AI planning started out as automated theorem proving
 - It still uses a lot of that notation
- Classical representation is equivalent to state-variable representation
 - Represents both rigid and varying properties using logical predicates
 - $adj(l, m)$ - location l is adjacent to location m
 - $loc(r) = l \rightarrow loc(r, l)$ - robot r is at location l
 - $loc(c) = r \rightarrow loc(c, r)$ - container c is on robot r
 - $cargo(r) = c \rightarrow loaded(r)$ - robot r is loaded with a container
- State s = a set of ground atoms
 - $s_0 = \{adj(d1, d2), adj(d2, d1), adj(d1, d3), adj(d3, d1), loc(c1, d1), loc(r1, d2)\}$



Classical Representation



- Equivalent expressive power
 - Each can be converted to the other in linear time and space
 - Each logical atom p is translated into a Boolean state variable x_p with parameter list (t_1, \dots, t_k)
 - Positive literals $p(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ become $x_p(t_1, \dots, t_k) = 1$
 - Negative literals $\neg p(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ become $x_p(t_1, \dots, t_k) = 0$
 - ← Each state variable $x(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is translated into a set of logical atoms $\{p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, v) \mid v \in \mathcal{R}(x)\}$
 - Planning operator \triangleq action template (next slide)
- Worst case complexity: EXPSPACE
 - Time needed to solve a classical planning problem may be exponential in the size of the problem description

Classical Planning Operators

- Operator $o = (head(o), pre(o), eff(o))$
 - $pre(o), eff(o)$ are sets of literals
- Action: a ground instance
- Translation from α to o
 - Precondition $x(t_1, \dots, t_k) = v$
 - $p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, v)$
 - Precondition $x(t_1, \dots, t_k) \neq v$
 - $\neg p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, v)$
 - Effect $x(t_1, \dots, t_k) \leftarrow v'$
 - $p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, v')$
 - If $p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, v) \in pre(o)$ for some v :
 - Add new effect $\neg p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, v)$
 - Otherwise
 - Add new parameter u to $head(o)$
 - Add new precondition $p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, u)$
 - Add new effect $\neg p_x(t_1, \dots, t_k, u)$
 - May have twice as many effects and parameters as action template
 - From operator to template: same number

- Action templates
 - head: $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, adj(l, m)$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
 - head: $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil, loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c, loc(c) \leftarrow r$
 - head: $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil, loc(c) \leftarrow l$
- Classical planning operators
 - head: $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r, l), adj(l, m)$
 - eff: $\neg loc(r, l), loc(r, m)$
 - head: $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $\neg loaded(r), loc(r, l), loc(c, l)$
 - eff: $loaded(r), loc(c, r), \neg loc(c, l)$
 - head: $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r, l), loc(c, r)$
 - eff: $\neg loaded(r), loc(c, l), \neg loc(c, r)$

PDDL – Planning Domain Definition Language

- Language for defining planning domains and problems
 - LISP-like syntax
- Original version ≈ 1996
 - Just classical planning
- Multiple revisions and extensions
 - Different subsets accommodate different kinds of planning
- We'll discuss the classical-planning subset
 - Chapter 2 of the PDDL book



Example Domain

- Classical planning operators
 - $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r, l), adj(l, m)$
 - eff: $\neg loc(r, l), loc(r, m)$
 - $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $\neg loaded(r), loc(r, l), loc(c, l)$
 - eff: $loaded(r), loc(c, r), \neg loc(c, l)$
 - $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r, l), loc(c, r)$
 - eff:
 $\neg loaded(r), loc(c, l), \neg loc(c, r)$

```
(define (domain example-domain-1)
  (requirements :negative-preconditions)


  (:action move
    :parameters (?r ?l ?m)
    :precondition (and (loc ?r ?l)
                        (adj ?l ?m))
    :effect (and (not (loc ?r ?l))
                  (loc ?r ?m)))

  (:action take
    :parameters (?r ?l ?c)
    :precondition (and (loc ?r ?l)
                        (loc ?c ?l)
                        (not (loaded ?r)))
    :effect (and (not (loc ?r ?l))
                  (loc ?r ?m)))

  (:action put
    :parameters (?r ?l ?c)
    :precondition (and (loc ?r ?l)
                        (loc ?c ?r))
    :effect (and (loc ?c ?l)
                  (not (loc ?c ?r))
                  (not (loaded ?r))))))
```

Example Problem


- Initial state $s_0 = \{adj(d1, d2), adj(d2, d1), adj(d1, d3), adj(d3, d1), loc(c1, d1), loc(r1, d2)\}$
- Goal state $g = \{loc(c1, r1)\}$



```
(define (problem example-problem-1)
  (:domain example-domain-1))

  (:init
    (adj d1 d2)
    (adj d2 d1)
    (adj d1 d3)
    (adj d3 d1)
    (loc c1 d1)
    (loc r1 d2))

  (:goal (loc c1 r1)))
```



Example Typed Domain

```
(define (domain example-domain-2)
  (:requirements
    :negative-preconditions
    :typing)

  (:types
    location movable-obj - object
    robot container - movable-obj)

  (:predicates
    (loc ?r - movable-obj
        ?l - location)
    (loaded ?r - robot)
    (adjacent ?l ?m - location))
```

```
(:action move
  :parameters (?r - robot
              ?l ?m - location)
  <<as before>>


  (:action take
    :parameters (?r - robot
                ?l - location
                ?c - container)
    <<as before>>

    (:action put
      :parameters (?r - robot
                  ?l - location
                  ?c - container)
      <<as before>>
```

Example Typed Problem

```
(define (problem example-problem-1)
  (:domain example-domain-1))
(:init
  (adj d1 d2)
  (adj d2 d1)
  (adj d1 d3)
  (adj d3 d1)
  (loc c1 d1)
  (loc r1 d2))

(:goal (loc c1 r1)))
```




```
(define (problem example-problem-2)
  (:domain example-domain-2))

(:objects
  r1 - robot
  c1 - container
  loc1 loc2 loc3 - location)

(:init
  (adjacent d1 d2)
  (adjacent d2 d1)
  (adjacent d1 d3)
  (adjacent d3 d1)
  (loc c1 d1)
  (loc r1 d2))

(:goal (loc c1 r1)))
```



Intermediate Summary

- State-variable representation
 - State-transition systems, classical planning assumptions
 - Classical planning problems, plans, solutions
 - Objects, rigid properties
 - Varying properties, state variables, states as functions
 - Action templates, actions, applicability, γ
 - State-variable planning domains, plans, problems, solutions
 - Comparison with classical representation
- Classical fragment of PDDL
 - Planning domains, planning problems
 - untyped, typed

Outline per the Book

2.1 *State-variable representation*

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 *Forward state-space search*

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 *Heuristic functions*

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 *Incorporating planning into an actor*

- Online lookahead, unexpected events


2.4 *Backward search*

- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state


2.5 *Plan-space search*

- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

Planning as Search




- Nearly all planning procedures are search procedures
 - **Search tree:** the data structure the procedure uses to keep track of which paths it has explored



Search-Tree Terminology

- **Node**: a pair $\nu = (\pi, s)$
 - $s = \gamma(s_0, \pi)$
 - In practice, ν may contain other things
 - pointer to parent, $cost(\pi)$, ...
 - π not always stored explicitly, can be computed from the parent pointers
- **Children** of $\nu = \{(\pi.a, \gamma(s, a)) \mid a \text{ is applicable in } s\}$
 - $\pi.a$: concatenation of π and a
- **Successors** of ν
 - Children, children of children, etc.
- **Ancestors** of ν
 - Nodes that have ν as a successor
- **Initial/starting node**: $\nu_0 = (\langle \rangle, s_0)$
 - Root of the search tree
- **Expand** ν
 - Generate all children

- **Path in the search space**
 - Sequence $\langle \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \rangle$ s.t. each ν_i is a child of ν_{i-1}
- **Height** of search space
 - length of longest acyclic path from ν_0
- **Depth** of ν
 - Length of path from ν_0 to ν , $length(\pi)$
- **Branching factor** of ν
 - Number of children
- **Branching factor** of search tree
 - max branching factor of the nodes



Forward Search


- Nondeterministic algorithm
 - *Sound*: if an execution trace returns a plan π , it's a solution
 - *Complete*: if the planning problem is solvable, at least one of the possible execution traces will return a solution
- Represents a class of deterministic search algorithms
 - Depends on how you implement the nondeterministic choice
 - Which leaf node to expand next, which nodes to prune
 - Sound but not necessarily complete

Forward-search(Σ, s_0, g)

```

 $s \leftarrow s_0$ 
 $\pi \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
loop
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
     $A' \leftarrow \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is applicable in } s\}$ 
    if  $A' = \emptyset$  then
        return failure
    nondeterministically choose  $a \in A'$ 
     $s \leftarrow \gamma(s, a)$ 
     $\pi \leftarrow \pi.a$ 

```



Deterministic Version


- Special cases
 - depth-first
 - breadth-first
 - A*
- Classify by
 - how they **select** nodes (step i)
 - how they **prune** nodes (step ii)
- Cycle checks during pruning:
 - Remove from children every node (π, s) that has an ancestor (π', s') s.t. $s' = s$
 - In classical planning, S is finite
 - Cycle-checking will guarantee termination

Deterministic-Search (Σ, s_0, g)

```

Frontier  $\leftarrow \{ (\langle \rangle, s_0) \}$ 
Expanded  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
while  $Frontier \neq \emptyset$  do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in Frontier$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from  $Frontier$ 
    add  $v$  to  $Expanded$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
    Children  $\leftarrow$ 
         $\{ (\pi.a, \gamma(s, a)) \mid s \text{ satisfies } pre(a) \}$ 
    prune 0 or more nodes from
        Children, Frontier, Expanded (ii)
    Frontier  $\leftarrow Frontier \cup Children$ 
return failure

```



Breadth-first search (BFS)

- (i) select $(\pi, s) \in Frontier$ with smallest $length(\pi)$
 - tie-breaking rule: select oldest
- (ii) remove every $(\pi, s) \in Children \cup Frontier$ s.t. s is in $Expanded$
 - thus expand states at most once
- Properties
 - Terminates
 - Returns solution if one exists
 - Shortest, but not least-cost (except if shortest=least-cost, e.g., default cost)
 - Worst-case complexity:
 - Memory $O(|S|)$
 - Running time $O(b|S|)$


where

 - b = max branching factor
 - $|S|$ = number of states in S

Deterministic-Search(Σ, s_0, g)

```

Frontier ← { (⟨⟩, s0) }
Expanded ← ∅
while Frontier ≠ ∅ do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in Frontier$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from  $Frontier$ 
    add  $v$  to  $Expanded$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
    Children ←
        { (π.a, γ(s, a)) | s satisfies pre(a) }
    prune 0 or more nodes from
        Children, Frontier, Expanded (ii)
    Frontier ← Frontier ∪ Children
return failure
  
```



Depth-First Search (DFS)


- (i) select $(\pi, s) \in Children$ that has largest $length(\pi)$
 - Possible tie-breaking rules: left-to-right, smallest $height(s)$
- (ii) first do cycle-checking, then prune all nodes by repeatedly removing from $Expanded$ any node that has no children in $Children \cup Frontier \cup Expanded$
 - What recursive DFS would discard
- Properties
 - Terminates
 - Returns solution if there is one
 - No guarantees on quality
 - Worst-case complexity
 - Running time $O(b^l)$
 - Memory $O(bl)$
 - where
 - b = max branching factor
 - l = max depth of any node

Deterministic-Search(Σ, s_0, g)

```

Frontier ← { (⟨⟩, s0) }
Expanded ← ∅
while Frontier ≠ ∅ do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in Frontier$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from  $Frontier$ 
    add  $v$  to  $Expanded$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
     $Children \leftarrow$ 
        { ( $\pi.a$ ,  $\gamma(s, a)$ ) |  $s$  satisfies  $pre(a)$  }
    prune 0 or more nodes from
         $Children, Frontier, Expanded$  (ii)
     $Frontier \leftarrow Frontier \cup Children$ 
return failure

```




Uniform-Cost Search

- (i) select $(\pi, s) \in Children$ that has smallest $cost(\pi)$
- (ii) prune every $(\pi, s) \in Children \cup Frontier$ such that $Expanded$ already contains a node (π', s)
 - $cost(\pi') \leq cost(\pi)$, so we only keep the least-cost path to s
- Properties
 - Terminates
 - Finds optimal solution if one exists
 - Worst-case complexity
 - Memory $O(|S|)$
 - Time $O(b|S|)$
- where
 - $b = \text{max branching factor}$
 - $|S| = \text{number of states in } S$

Deterministic-Search(Σ, s_0, g)

```


Frontier  $\leftarrow \{ (\langle \rangle, s_0) \}$ 
Expanded  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
while  $Frontier \neq \emptyset$  do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in Frontier$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from  $Frontier$ 
    add  $v$  to  $Expanded$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
     $Children \leftarrow$ 
         $\{ (\pi.a, \gamma(s, a)) \mid s \text{ satisfies } pre(a) \}$ 
    prune 0 or more nodes from
         $Children, Frontier, Expanded$  (ii)
     $Frontier \leftarrow Frontier \cup Children$ 
return failure
  
```



Heuristic Function

- Motivation: get to a solution quickly by selecting nodes close to the goal
 - Compare: A*
- Let $h^*(s) = \min\{cost(\pi) \mid \gamma(s, \pi) \text{ satisfies } g\}$
 - Note that $h^*(s) \geq 0$ for all s
- Heuristic function $h(s)$:
 - Returns an estimate of $h^*(s)$
 - Assume $h(s) \geq 0$ for all s
 - Properties
 - h is **admissible** if for every s , $h(s) \leq h^*(s)$
 - h is **ε -admissible** if for every s , $h(s) \leq h^*(s) + \varepsilon$
- Let $v = (\pi, s)$ be a node
 - $f^*(v) = cost(\pi) + h^*(s)$
 - Min cost of all paths to goal that start with π
 - $f(v) = cost(\pi) + h(s)$
 - Estimate of $f^*(v)$

Example



- State s = what city you are in
- Action: follow road from s to a neighboring city
- $h^*(s)$ = length of shortest sequence of roads from s to Bucharest
- $h(s)$ = straight-line distance from s to Bucharest
 - *domain-specific*; later we will discuss *domain-independent*
- $f^*((\pi, s))$ = length of $(\pi + \text{shortest sequence of roads from } s \text{ to Bucharest})$

	straight-line dist. from s to Bucharest
Arad	366
Bucharest	0
Craiova	160
Dobreta	242
Fagaras	176
Iasi	226
Lugoj	244
Mehadia	241
Neamt	234
Oradea	380
Pitesti	100
Rimnicu Vilcea	193
Sibiu	253
Timisoara	329
Urziceni	80
Vaslui	199
Zerind	374

A*


- (i) Select a node $v = (\pi, s)$ in $Frontier$ that has smallest value of
 $f(v) = cost(\pi) + h(s)$
 - Tie-breaking rule: choose oldest
- (ii) for every node $v = (\pi, s)$ in $Children$
 - if $Children \cup Frontier \cup Expanded$ contains more than one node for s (different π)
 - then it has multiple paths to s
 - Keep only the one with the lowest f-value
 - Tie-breaking rule: keep oldest
- Properties
 - After upcoming example

Deterministic-Search(Σ, s_0, g)


```


Frontier ← { (⟨⟩, s0) }
Expanded ← ∅
while Frontier ≠ ∅ do
    select a node v = (π, s) ∈ Frontier (i)
    remove v from Frontier
    add v to Expanded
    if s satisfies g then
        return π
    Children ←
        { (π.a, γ(s, a)) | s satisfies pre(a) }
    prune 0 or more nodes from
        Children, Frontier, Expanded (ii)
    Frontier ← Frontier ∪ Children
return failure

```




	straight-line dist. from <i>s</i> to Bucharest
Arad	366
Bucharest	0
Craiova	160
Dobreta	242
Fagaras	176
Iasi	226
Lugoj	244
Mehadia	241
Neamt	234
Oradea	380
Pitesti	100
Rimnicu Vilcea	193
Sibiu	253
Timisoara	329
Urziceni	80
Vaslui	199
Zerind	374







straight-line dist. from <i>s</i> to Bucharest
Arad 366
Bucharest 0
Craiova 160
Dobreta 242
Fagaras 176
Iasi 226
Lugoj 244
Mehadia 241
Neamt 234
Oradea 380
Pitesti 100
Rimnicu Vilcea 193
Sibiu 253
Timisoara 329
Urziceni 80
Vaslui 199
Zerind 374



straight-line dist. from s to Bucharest	
Arad	366
Bucharest	0
Craiova	160
Dobreta	242
Fagaras	176
Iasi	226
Lugoj	244
Mehadia	241
Neamt	234
Oradea	380
Pitesti	100
Rimnicu Vilcea	193
Sibiu	253
Timisoara	329
Urziceni	80
Vaslui	199
Zerind	374





Properties of A*

- In classical planning problems, A* will always terminate
- *Completeness*: if the problem is solvable, A* will return a solution
 - If h is admissible, then the solution will be optimal (least cost)
 - If h is ε -admissible, then the solution will be ε -optimal
- If h is monotone, then
 - $f(\nu) \leq f(\nu')$ for every child ν' of a node ν
 - A* will expand nodes in non-decreasing order of f values
 - A* will never prune any nodes from *Expanded*
 - A* will expand no state more than once
- Definition: h dominates h' if $h'(s) \leq h(s) \leq h^*(s)$ for every s
 - If h dominates h' , then (assuming ties are always resolved in favour of the same node)
 - A* will never expand more nodes with h than with h'
 - In most cases A* will expand fewer nodes with h than with h'
- A* needs to store every node it visits
 - Running time $O(b|S|)$ and memory $O(|S|)$ in worst case
 - With good heuristic function, usually much smaller


Greedy Best-First Search (GBFS)

- Find a solution as quickly as possible, even if it isn't optimal
 - Select nodes that are likely to be on the least-cost path from where you are now
- (i) select a node $(\pi, s) \in Frontier$ that has smallest $h(s)$
- (ii) same as in A*: for every node $v = (\pi, s)$ in *Children*
 - if *Children* \cup *Frontier* \cup *Expanded* contains more than one node for s
 - Then it has multiple paths to s
 - Keep only the one with the lowest f-value
 - Tie-breaking rule: keep oldest
- Properties
 - Terminates
 - Returns a solution if one exists
 - Often near-optimal
 - Will usually find it quickly


Deterministic-Search(Σ, s_0, g)


```



Frontier  $\leftarrow \{(\langle \rangle, s_0)\}$ 
Expanded  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
while  $Frontier \neq \emptyset$  do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in Frontier$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from Frontier
    add  $v$  to Expanded
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
    Children  $\leftarrow$ 
         $\{(\pi.a, \gamma(s, a)) \mid s \text{ satisfies } \text{pre}(a)\}$ 
    prune 0 or more nodes from
        Children, Frontier, Expanded (ii)
    Frontier  $\leftarrow$  Frontier  $\cup$  Children
return failure
  
```



	straight-line dist. from <i>s</i> to Bucharest
Arad	366
Bucharest	0
Craiova	160
Dobreta	242
Fagaras	176
Iasi	226
Lugoj	244
Mehadia	241
Neamt	234
Oradea	380
Pitesti	100
Rimnicu Vilcea	193
Sibiu	253
Timisoara	329
Urziceni	80
Vaslui	199
Zerind	374







- expanded 4 nodes
 - instead of 6
- solution cost 450
 - instead of 418


Depth-First Branch and Bound (DFBB)

- (i) same as DFS
 - Select $v = (\pi, s) \in \text{Children}$ that has largest $\text{length}(\pi)$
 - Tie-breaking: smallest $\text{height}(s)$
- (ii) prune
 - Like DFS
 - do cycle-checking and prune what recursive DFS would discard
 - Additional pruning during node expansion:
 - If $f(v) \geq c^*$, then discard v
- Properties
 - Termination, completeness, optimality same as A*
 - Usually less memory than A*, but more time
 - Worst-case like DFS:
 - $O(bl)$ memory
 - $O(b^l)$ running time


Deterministic-Search(Σ, s_0, g)


```


Frontier  $\leftarrow \{ (\langle \rangle, s_0) \}$ 
Expanded  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
 $c^* \leftarrow \infty$ 
 $\pi^* \leftarrow \text{failure}$ 
while  $\text{Frontier} \neq \emptyset$  do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in \text{Frontier}$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from  $\text{Frontier}$ 
    add  $v$  to  $\text{Expanded}$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  and  $\text{cost}(\pi) < c^*$  then
         $c^* \leftarrow \text{cost}(\pi)$ ;  $\pi^* \leftarrow \pi$ 
    else if  $f(v) < c^*$  then
         $\text{Children} \leftarrow \{ (\pi.a, \gamma(s, a)) \mid$ 
         $s$  satisfies  $\text{pre}(a)$   $\}$ 
        prune 0 or more nodes from
         $\text{Children}, \text{Frontier}, \text{Expanded}$  (ii)
     $\text{Frontier} \leftarrow \text{Frontier} \cup \text{Children}$ 
return failure  $\pi^*$ 
  
```






	straight-line dist. from s to Bucharest
Arad	366
Bucharest	0
Craiova	160
Dobreta	242
Fagaras	176
Iasi	226
Lugoj	244
Mehadia	241
Neamt	234
Oradea	380
Pitesti	100
Rimnicu Vilcea	193
Sibiu	253
Timisoara	329
Urziceni	80
Vaslui	199
Zerind	374
















$$c^* = 418$$

$$\pi^* = \langle a_{AS}, a_{SR}, a_{RP}, a_{PB} \rangle$$





$$c^* = 418$$

$$\pi^* = \langle a_{AS}, a_{SR}, a_{RP}, a_{PB} \rangle$$

$$646 = 280 + 366$$

$$415 = 239 + 176$$

$$671 = 291 + 380$$



$$526 = 366 + 160$$



$$553 = 300 + 253$$



$$418 = 418 + 0$$

$$615 = 455 + 160$$

$$607 = 414 + 193$$







Iterative Deepening Search (IDS)

- Example:
 - Expand a
 - ($k = 1$)
 - Expand a, b, c
 - ($k = 2$)
 - Expand a, b, c, d, e, f, g
 - ($k = 3$)
 - Expand $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, o$
 - ($k = 4$)
 - Solution path $\langle a, c, g, o \rangle$
 - Total number of node expansions:
 - $1 + 3 + 7 + 15 = 26$
- If goal is at depth d and branching factor is 2:


$$\sum_{i=1}^d (2^i - 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d 2^i \right) - d$$

$$= 2^{d+1} - 2 - d = O(2^d)$$

IDS(Σ, s_0, g)

```

for  $k = 1$  to  $\infty$  do
     $\pi^* \leftarrow$  do DFS, backtracking at every
                node of depth  $k$ 
    if  $\pi^* \neq$  failure then
        return  $\pi^*$ 
    if the search generated
        no nodes of depth  $k$  then
        return failure
    
```



Iterative Deepening Search (IDS)

- If goal is at depth d and branching factor is b :

$$\sum_{i=1}^d (b^i - 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d b^i \right) - d \\ = b^{d+1} - b - d = O(b^d)$$

- Properties
 - Termination, completeness, optimality
 - same as BFS
 - Worst-case complexity
 - Memory requirement $O(bd)$
 - vs. $O(b^d)$ with BFS
 - Worst-case running time $O(b^d)$
 - vs. $O(b^l)$ for DFS
 - If the number of nodes at depth d grows exponentially with d


where

 - b = max branching factor
 - d = min solution depth if there is one, otherwise max depth of any node

IDS(Σ, s_0, g)

```

for  $k = 1$  to  $\infty$  do
   $\pi^* \leftarrow$  do DFS, backtracking at every
    node of depth  $k$ 
  if  $\pi^* \neq$  failure then
    return  $\pi^*$ 
  if the search generated
    no nodes of depth  $k$  then
    return failure
  
```



IDA*

- Basically A* + IDS: Not using path length but $f(v)$, i.e., estimated cost, as the cut-off criterion of IDS
- Properties
 - Termination, completeness, and optimality same as A*
 - Worst-case complexity
 - If h is admissible, memory requirement $O(bd)$ rather than $O(b^d)$
 - If the number of nodes grows exponentially with c , running time $O(b^d)$
 - Can be much worse if the number of nodes grows subexponentially
 - e.g., real-valued costs
 - IDA* is not much used in practice

IDA* (Σ, s_0, g)

$c \leftarrow 0$

loop

$\pi^* \leftarrow$ do DFS, backtracking whenever $f(v) > c$

if $\pi^* \neq$ failure **then**


return π^*

if DFS didn't generate an $f(v) > c$ **then**

return failure

$c \leftarrow$ the smallest $f(v) > c$

where backtracking occurred



Discussion

- If h is admissible, both A* and DFBB will return optimal solutions
 - Usually DFBB takes more time, A* takes more memory
 - A* better than DFBB in highly connected graphs (many paths to states)
 - DFBB can have exponentially worse running time than A*
 - DFBB best in problems where S is a tree of uniform height + all solutions at the bottom (e.g., constraint satisfaction)
 - DFBB and A* have similar running time
 - A* takes exponentially more memory than DFBB
- DFS returns the first solution it finds
 - Less backtracking than DFBB, but solution can be very far from optimal
- GBFS returns the first solution it finds
 - With a good heuristic function, usually near-optimal without much backtracking
 - Used by most classical planners nowadays

Intermediate Summary

- Forward-search, Deterministic-Search
- Cycle-checking
- Breadth-first, depth-first, uniform-cost search
- A*, GBFS, DFBB
- IDS, IDA*

Outline per the Book

2.1 *State-variable representation*

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 *Forward state-space search*

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 *Heuristic functions*

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 *Incorporating planning into an actor*

- Online lookahead, unexpected events

2.4 *Backward search*

- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state

2.5 *Plan-space search*


- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

Heuristic Functions

- Planning problem P in domain Σ
- Creating a heuristic function:
 - Weaken some of the constraints that
 - restrict what the states, actions, and plans are
 - restrict when an action or plan is applicable, what goals it achieves
 - increase the costs of actions and plans
- Relaxed planning domain $\Sigma' = (S', A', \gamma')$ and problem $P' = (\Sigma', s'_0, g')$
 - for every solution π for P , P' has a solution π' with $cost'(\pi') \leq cost(\pi)$
- Suppose we have an algorithm A for solving planning problems in Σ'
 - Heuristic function $h^A(s)$ for P :
 - Find a solution π' for (Σ', s, g') ; return $cost(\pi')$
 - If A runs quickly, then h^A may be a useful heuristic function
 - If A always finds optimal solutions, then h^A is admissible

Example from A*

- Relaxation: let vehicle travel in a straight line between any pair of cities
 - straight-line-distance \leq distance by road




straight-line dist. from s to Bucharest
Arad 366
Bucharest 0
Craiova 160
Dobreta 242
Fagaras 176
Iasi 226
Lugoj 244
Mehadia 241
Neamt 234
Oradea 380
Pitesti 100
Rimnicu Vilcea 193
Sibiu 253
Timisoara 329
Urziceni 80
Vaslui 199
Zerind 374

Domain-independent Heuristics


- Heuristic functions that can be used work in any classical planning problem
 - Additive-cost heuristic
 - Max-cost heuristic
 - Delete-relaxation heuristics
 - Optimal relaxed solution
 - Fast-forward heuristic
 - Landmark heuristics
- 
- In the book, but I'll skip them

Delete-Relaxation

- Relaxation:
 - A state variable can have more than one value at the same time
 - When assigning a new value, keep the old one too
- Suppose state s includes an atom $x = v$, action a has effect $x \leftarrow w$
 - $\gamma^+(s, a)$ is a **relaxed state**
 - Includes both $x = v$ and $x = w$





- Example
 - $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
 - $move(r1, d3, d1)$
 - Pre: $loc(r1) = d3$
 - Eff: $loc(r1) \leftarrow d1$
 - $\hat{s}_1 = \gamma^+(s_0, move(r1, d3, d1)) = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(r1) = d1, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$



Relaxed States

- Relaxed state (or *r-state*):
 - Set \hat{s} of ground atoms that includes at least 1 value for each state variable
 - Represents {all states that are subsets of \hat{s} }
 - Note: every state s is also a relaxed state that represents $\{s\}$
- Examples
 - $\hat{s}_1 = \{\text{loc}(r1) = d1, \text{loc}(r1) = d3, \text{cargo}(r1) = \text{nil}, \text{loc}(c1) = d1\}$
 - $\hat{s}_2 = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_1, \text{take}(r1, d1, c1)) = \{\text{loc}(r1) = d1, \text{loc}(r1) = d3, \text{cargo}(r1) = \text{nil}, \text{loc}(c1) = r1, \text{loc}(c1) = d1, \text{cargo}(r1) = c1\}$



 \hat{s}_1

 \hat{s}_2

R-applicability

- An r-state \hat{s} **r-satisfies** a set of literals g if a set $s \subseteq \hat{s}$ satisfies g
- Action a is **r-applicable** in \hat{s} if \hat{s} r-satisfies $pre(a)$
 - i.e., \hat{s} contains a subset s that satisfies the preconditions of a
 - If a is r-applicable, then $\gamma^+(\hat{s}, a) = \hat{s} \cup \gamma(s, a)$
- $\pi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is **r-applicable** in \hat{s}_0 if there are r-states $\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2, \dots, \hat{s}_n$ such that
 - a_1 is r-applicable in \hat{s}_0 and $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_0, a_1) = \hat{s}_1$
 - a_2 is r-applicable in \hat{s}_1 and $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_1, a_2) = \hat{s}_2$
 - ...
 - a_n is r-applicable in \hat{s}_{n-1} and $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_{n-1}, a_n) = \hat{s}_n$
- In this case, $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_{n-1}, \pi) = \hat{s}_n$



Example

- $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
- $move(r1, d3, d1)$
 - Pre: $loc(r1) = d3$
 - Eff: $loc(r1) \leftarrow d1$
- $\hat{s}_1 = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_1, move(r1, d1, c1)) = \{loc(r1) = d1, loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
- $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil, loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c, loc(c) \leftarrow r$
- $\hat{s}_2 = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_1, take(r1, d1, c1)) = \{loc(r1) = d1, loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = r1, loc(c1) = d1, cargo(r1) = c1\}$



Relaxed Solution


- Planning problem $P = (\Sigma, s_0, g)$
- Plan π is a **relaxed solution** for P if $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_0, \pi)$ r-satisfies g
- Example:
 - Initial $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
 - Goal states $g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$
 - Plan $\pi = \langle move(r1, d3, d1), take(r1, c1, d1) \rangle$
 - End state $\gamma^+(s_0, \pi) = \{loc(r1) = d1, loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = r1, loc(c1) = d1, cargo(r1) = c1\}$



Optimal Relaxed Solution Heuristic

- Given a planning problem $P = (\Sigma, s_0, g)$
- Optimal relaxed solution heuristic:**
 - $h^+(s) = \text{minimum cost of all relaxed solutions for } P$
- Example:
 - Initial $s_0 = \{\text{loc}(r1) = d3, \text{cargo}(r1) = \text{nil}, \text{loc}(c1) = d1\}$
 - Goal states $g = \{\text{loc}(r1) = d3, \text{loc}(c1) = r1\}$
 - $\pi = \langle \text{move}(r1, d3, d1), \text{take}(r1, c1, d1) \rangle$
 - $\text{cost}(\pi) = 2$
 - No less-costly relaxed solution, so $h^+(s_0) = 2$


How does this compare with $h^*(s)$?




Example

- $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
- In s_0 , two applicable actions
 - $a_1 = move(r1, d3, d1)$
 - $s_1 = \{loc(r1) = d1, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
 - $a_2 = move(r1, d3, d2)$
 - $s_2 = \{loc(r1) = d2, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
- GBFS evaluates $h^+(s_1)$ and $h^+(s_2)$, and chooses to move to whichever is smaller

What are $h^+(s_1)$ and $h^+(s_2)$ and where does GBFS move?



$$g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$$



Fast-Forward Heuristic

- Every state is also a relaxed state
- Every solution is also a relaxed solution
- $h^+(s) = \text{minimum cost of all relaxed solutions}$
 - Thus h^+ is admissible
 - Problem: computing it is NP-hard
- Fast-Forward Heuristic h^{FF}
 - An approximation of h^+ that is easier to compute
 - Upper bound on h^+
 - Name comes from a planner called *Fast Forward*

Preliminaries

- Let A_1 be a set of actions that are r-applicable in \hat{s}
 - Can **apply** them **in any order** and get **same result**
 - Define result of applying A_1 in \hat{s} as

$$\gamma^+(\hat{s}, A_1) = \hat{s} \cup \bigcup_{a \in A_1} eff(a)$$

- Let $\hat{s}_1 = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_0, A_1)$
 - Suppose A_2 is a set of actions that are r-applicable in \hat{s}_1
 - Define $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_0, \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle) = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_1, A_2)$
 - ...
 - Define $\gamma^+(\hat{s}_0, \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \rangle)$ in the obvious way

Fast-Forward Heuristic

```

HFF( $\Sigma, s, g$ )
    // construct a relaxed solution  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$ :
     $\hat{s}_0 \leftarrow s$ 
    for  $k = 1; k++;$  a subset of  $\hat{s}_k$  r-satisfies  $g$  do
         $A_k = \{\text{all actions r-applicable in } \hat{s}_{k-1}\}$ 
         $\hat{s}_k = \gamma^+(s_{k-1}, A_k)$ 
        if  $k > 1$  and  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$  then
            return  $\infty$                                 // there's no solution
    // extract minimal relaxed solution  $\langle \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_k \rangle$ :
     $\hat{g}_k = g$ 
    for  $i = k \text{ down to } 1 \text{ do}$ 
         $\hat{a}_i = \text{any minimal subset of } A_i \text{ such that } \gamma^+(\hat{s}_{i-1}, \hat{a}_i) \text{ r-satisfies } \hat{g}_i$ 
         $\hat{g}_{i-1} \leftarrow (\hat{g}_i \setminus \text{eff}(\hat{a}_i)) \cup \text{pre}(\hat{a}_i)$ 
     $\hat{\pi} \leftarrow \langle \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_k \rangle$ 
    return  $\sum_a \text{cost}(a)$                       // upper bound on  $h^+$ 

```

- Find a minimal relaxed solution and return its cost
 - Generates a sequence of successively larger r-states and sets of applicable actions until \hat{s}_k r-satisfies g :

$$\hat{s}_0, A_1, \hat{s}_1, A_2, \hat{s}_2, \dots, A_{k-1}, \hat{s}_{k-1}, A_k, \hat{s}_k$$
 - Extract minimal relaxed solution from that sequence

Fast-Forward Heuristic

```



HFF( $\Sigma, s, g$ )
    // construct a relaxed solution  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$ :
     $\hat{S}_0 \leftarrow s$ 
    for  $k = 1; k++;$  a subset of  $\hat{S}_k$  r-satisfies  $g$  do
         $A_k = \{\text{all actions r-applicable in } \hat{S}_{k-1}\}$ 
         $\hat{S}_k = \gamma^+(\hat{S}_{k-1}, A_k)$ 
        if  $k > 1$  and  $\hat{S}_k = \hat{S}_{k-1}$  then
            return  $\infty$                                 // there's no solution
    // extract minimal relaxed solution  $\langle \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_k \rangle$ :
     $\hat{g}_k = g$ 
    for  $i = k$  down to 1 do
         $\hat{a}_i = \text{any minimal subset of } A_i \text{ such that } \gamma^+(\hat{S}_{i-1}, \hat{a}_i) \text{ r-satisfies } \hat{g}_i$ 
         $\hat{g}_{i-1} \leftarrow (\hat{g}_i \setminus \text{eff}(\hat{a}_i)) \cup \text{pre}(\hat{a}_i)$ 
     $\hat{\pi} \leftarrow \langle \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_k \rangle$ 
    return  $\sum_{a \text{ is an action in } \hat{\pi}} \text{cost}(a)$           // upper bound on  $h^+$ 

```

- Find a minimal relaxed solution and return its cost
- Define $h^{FF} = \text{the value returned by HFF}(\Sigma, s, g)$
 - Return value is ambiguous
 - Each \hat{a}_i in $h^{FF}(s)$ is a minimal set of actions s.t. $\gamma^+(\hat{S}_{i-1}, \hat{a}_i)$ r-satisfies $\text{pre}(\hat{a}_i)$
 - Depends on *which* minimal subsets we choose

Example (as before)

- $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
- In s_0 , two applicable actions
 - $a_1 = move(r1, d3, d1)$
 - $s_1 = \{loc(r1) = d1, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
 - $a_2 = move(r1, d3, d2)$
 - $s_2 = \{loc(r1) = d2, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$
- GBFS using h^{FF}
 - Compute $h^{FF}(s_1)$ and $h^{FF}(s_2)$
 - Move to whichever is smaller



$$g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$$

Example

```
// construct a relaxed solution  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$ :  

 $\hat{s}_0 \leftarrow s$   

for  $k = 1$ ;  $k++$ ; subset of  $\hat{s}_k$  r-satisfies  $g$  do  

     $A_k = \{\text{all actions r-applicable in } \hat{s}_{k-1}\}$   

     $\hat{s}_k = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_{k-1}, A_k)$   

if  $k > 1$  and  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$  then  

    return  $\infty$  // there's no solution
```

Relaxed Planning Graph (RPG) from $\hat{s}_0 = s_1$ to g
(solid lines indicate preconditions/effects):

Atoms in $\hat{s}_0 = s_1$:

$loc(r1) = d1$
 $loc(c1) = d1$
 $cargo(r1) = nil$

Actions in A_1 :


$move(r1, d1, d2)$
 $move(r1, d1, d3)$
 $take(r1, c1, d1)$

Atoms in \hat{s}_1 :


$loc(r1) = d2$
 $loc(r1) = d3$
 $loc(c1) = r1$
 $cargo(r1) = c1$
 $loc(r1) = d1$
 $loc(c1) = d1$
 $cargo(r1) = nil$

$\langle A_1 \rangle$ is a relaxed solution

$\gamma^+(s_0, A_1)$ r-satisfies g



$g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$



$s_1 = \{loc(r1) = d1, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$

Example

```
// extract minimal relaxed solution
 $\hat{g}_k = g$ 
for  $i = k$  down to 1 do
     $\hat{a}_i = \text{minimal subset of } A_i \text{ s.t. } \gamma^+(\hat{s}_{i-1}, \hat{a}_i) \text{ r-satisfies } \hat{g}_i$ 
     $\hat{g}_{i-1} \leftarrow (\hat{g}_i \setminus \text{eff}(\hat{a}_i)) \cup \text{pre}(\hat{a}_i)$ 
```

Relaxed Planning Graph (RPG) from $\hat{s}_0 = s_1$ to g

(follow lines from atoms in g : all if precond.; one if eff.)

Atoms in $\hat{s}_0 = s_1$:

$loc(r1) = d1$
 $loc(c1) = d1$
 $cargo(r1) = nil$

Actions in A_1 :

$move(r1, d1, d2)$
 $move(r1, d1, d3)$
 $take(r1, c1, d1)$


$\langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle$

Atoms in \hat{s}_1 :


$loc(r1) = d2$
 $loc(r1) = d3$
 $loc(c1) = r1$
 $cargo(r1) = c1$
 $loc(r1) = d1$
 $loc(c1) = d1$
 $cargo(r1) = nil$

- $\langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle$ is a minimal relaxed solution
- Cost of each action is 1, so $h^{FF}(s_1) = 2$

from \hat{s}_0 :
 $loc(c1) = d1$
 $cargo(r1) = nil$



$g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$



$s_1 = \{loc(r1) = d1, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$

Example

```
// construct a relaxed solution  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$ :  

 $\hat{s}_0 \leftarrow s$   

for  $k = 1; k++;$  subset of  $\hat{s}_k$  r-satisfies g do  


     $A_k = \{\text{all actions r-applicable in } \hat{s}_{k-1}\}$   

     $\hat{s}_k = \gamma^+(\hat{s}_{k-1}, A_k)$   


if  $k > 1$  and  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$  then  

    return  $\infty$  // there's no solution
```


RPG from $\hat{s}_0 = s_2$ to g



$\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ is a relaxed solution



$$g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$$






$$s_2 = \{loc(r1) = d2, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$$

Example

```
// extract minimal relaxed solution
 $\hat{g}_k = g$ 
for  $i = k$  down to 1 do
     $\hat{a}_i = \text{minimal subset of } A_i \text{ s.t. } \gamma^+(\hat{s}_{i-1}, \hat{a}_i) \text{ r-satisfies } \hat{g}_i$ 
     $\hat{g}_{i-1} \leftarrow (\hat{g}_i \setminus \text{eff}(\hat{a}_i)) \cup \text{pre}(\hat{a}_i)$ 
```

Could have followed other eff. line
(would have lead to $h^{FF}(s_1) = 2$)

RPG from $\hat{s}_0 = s_2$ to g




Properties

- Running time is polynomial in $|A| + \sum_{x \in X} |\mathcal{R}(x)|$
- *Minimal solution* doesn't mean *smallest cost*
 - A solution π to P is **minimal** if
 - no subsequence of π is also a solution for P .
 - A solution π to P is **shortest** if
 - there is no solution π' such that $|\pi'| < |\pi|$.
 - A solution π to P is **cost-optimal** if
 - $cost(\pi) = \min\{cost(\pi') \mid \pi' \text{ is a solution for } P\}$.
 - $h^{FF}(s)$ = value returned by $HFF(\Sigma, s, g)$
 - $h^{FF}(s) = \sum \text{costs of } \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_k$
 - $h^{FF}(s) \geq h^+(s) = \text{smallest cost of any relaxed plan from } s \text{ to goal}$
 - h^{FF} **not** admissible


Example

- Suppose the goal atoms are c_7, c_8, c_9 . How many minimal solutions are there?
 - Assume default cost of 1




Landmark Heuristics

- $P = (\Sigma, s_0, g)$ be a planning problem
- Let $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \dots \vee \varphi_m$ be a disjunction of ground atoms
- φ is a **landmark** for P if φ is true at some point in every solution for P
- Example landmarks
 - $loc(r1) = d1$
 - $loc(r1) = d3 \vee loc(r1) = d2$
 - $loc(r1) = d3$



$g = \{loc(r1) = d3, loc(c1) = r1\}$



$s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$

Why are Landmarks Useful?

- Breaks down a problem into smaller subproblems




- Suppose m_1, m_2, m_3 are landmarks
 - Every solution to P must achieve m_1, m_2, m_3
- Possible strategy:
 - find a plan to go from s_0 to any state s_1 that satisfies m_1
 - find a plan to go from s_1 to any state s_2 that satisfies m_2
 - ...

Computing Landmarks

- Worst-case complexity:
 - Deciding whether φ is a landmark is PSPACE-complete
 - As hard as solving the planning problem itself
- But there are often useful landmarks that can be found more easily
 - Polynomial time
 - Going to see one such procedure based on *RPGs*
 - Why RPGs?
 - Solving relaxed planning problems easier
 - Computing landmarks for relaxed planning problems easier
 - A landmark for a relaxed planning problem is a landmark for the original planning problem as well


RPG-based Landmark Computation

- Main intuition:
 - if φ is a landmark, can get new landmarks from the preconditions of the actions that achieve φ
- Example:
 - goal g
 - $\{a_1, a_2\}$ = all actions that achieve g
 - $pre(a_1) = \{p_1, q\}$
 - $pre(a_2) = \{q, p_2\}$
 - To achieve g , must achieve $(p_1 \wedge q) \vee (p_2 \wedge q)$
 - same as $q \wedge (p_1 \vee p_2)$
 - Landmarks:
 - q
 - $p_1 \vee p_2$



RPG-based Landmark Computation

- Suppose goal is $g = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$
 - Trivially, every g_i is a landmark
- Suppose $g_1 = (loc(r1) = d1)$
 - Two actions can achieve g_1 :
 - $move(r1, d3, d1)$
 - $move(r1, d2, d1)$
 - Preconditions
 - $loc(r1) = d3$
 - $loc(r1) = d2$
- New landmark: $\varphi' = (loc(r1) = d3 \vee loc(r1) = d2)$




$$s_0 = \{loc(r1) = d3, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(c1) = d1\}$$

RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

```

queue  $\leftarrow \{g_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid s_0 \text{ doesn't satisfy } g_i\};$ 
Landmarks  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
A  $\leftarrow$  all actions
while queue  $\neq \emptyset$  do
    remove a  $g_i$  from queue
    Landmarks  $\leftarrow$  Landmarks  $\cup g_i$ 
    R  $\leftarrow \{\text{actions whose effects include } g_i\}$ 
    if  $s_0$  satisfies pre( $a$ ) for some  $a \in R$  then
        return Landmarks
    generate RPG from  $s_0$  and  $A \setminus R$ , stop when  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$ 
    N  $\leftarrow \{a \in R \mid a \text{ r-applicable in } \hat{s}_k\}$ 
    if  $N = \emptyset$  then
        return failure
    Pre  $\leftarrow \bigcup \{\text{pre}(a) \mid a \in N\} \setminus s_0$ 
     $\Phi \leftarrow \{p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_m \mid m \leq 4, \forall a \in N \ \exists i : p_i \in \text{pre}(a), \forall i : p_i \in \text{Pre}\}$ 
    for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$  do
        add  $\varphi$  to queue
return Landmarks

```




RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

```

queue  $\leftarrow \{g_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid s_0 \text{ doesn't satisfy } g_i\};$ 
Landmarks  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
A  $\leftarrow$  all actions
while queue  $\neq \emptyset$  do
    remove a  $g_i$  from queue
    Landmarks  $\leftarrow$  Landmarks  $\cup g_i$ 
    if  $s_0$  satisfies pre( $a$ ) for some  $a \in R$  then
        return Landmarks
    generate RPG from  $s_0$  and  $A \setminus R$ , stop when  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$ 
     $N \leftarrow \{a \in R \mid a \text{ r-applicable in } \hat{s}_k\}$ 
    if  $N = \emptyset$  then
        return failure
    Pre  $\leftarrow \bigcup \{\text{pre}(a) \mid a \in N\} \setminus s_0$ 
     $\Phi \leftarrow \{p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_m \mid m \leq 4, \forall a \in N \ \exists i : p_i \in \text{pre}(a), \forall i : p_i \in \text{Pre}\}$ 
    for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$  do
        add  $\varphi$  to queue
return Landmarks

```



RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

```

queue  $\leftarrow \{g_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid s_0 \text{ doesn't satisfy } g_i\};$ 
Landmarks  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
 $A \leftarrow \text{all actions}$ 
while queue  $\neq \emptyset$  do
    remove a  $g_i$  from queue
    Landmarks  $\leftarrow \text{Landmarks} \cup g_i$ 
     $R \leftarrow \{\text{actions whose effects include } g_i\}$ 
    if  $s_0$  satisfies  $\text{pre}(a)$  for some  $a \in R$  then
        return Landmarks


```

generate RPG from s_0 and $A \setminus R$, stop when $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$

```

 $N \leftarrow \{a \in R \mid a \text{ r-applicable in } \hat{s}_k\}$ 
if  $N = \emptyset$  then
    return failure
 $Pre \leftarrow \bigcup \{\text{pre}(a) \mid a \in N\} \setminus s_0$ 
 $\Phi \leftarrow \{p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_m \mid m \leq 4, \forall a \in N \ \exists i : p_i \in \text{pre}(a), \forall i : p_i \in Pre\}$ 
for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$  do
    add  $\varphi$  to queue
return Landmarks

```




all r-achievable atoms

RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

```

queue  $\leftarrow \{g_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid s_0 \text{ doesn't satisfy } g_i\};$ 
Landmarks  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
 $A \leftarrow \text{all actions}$ 
while queue  $\neq \emptyset$  do
    remove a  $g_i$  from queue
    Landmarks  $\leftarrow \text{Landmarks} \cup g_i$ 
     $R \leftarrow \{\text{actions whose effects include } g_i\}$ 
    if  $s_0$  satisfies  $\text{pre}(a)$  for some  $a \in R$  then
        return Landmarks
    generate RPG from  $s_0$  and  $A \setminus R$ , stop when  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$ 
     $N \leftarrow \{a \in R \mid a \text{ r-applicable in } \hat{s}_k\}$ 
    if  $N = \emptyset$  then
        return failure
    Pre  $\leftarrow \bigcup \{\text{pre}(a) \mid a \in N\} \setminus s_0$ 
     $\Phi \leftarrow \{p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_m \mid m \leq 4, \forall a \in N \exists i : p_i \in \text{pre}(a), \forall i : p_i \in \text{Pre}\}$ 
    for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$  do
        add  $\varphi$  to queue
return Landmarks

```




“necessary” actions
 N : the only ones
 that can be r-applied
 and achieve g_i

RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

```

queue  $\leftarrow \{g_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid s_0 \text{ doesn't satisfy } g_i\};$ 
Landmarks  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
 $A \leftarrow \text{all actions}$ 
while queue  $\neq \emptyset$  do
    remove a  $g_i$  from queue
    Landmarks  $\leftarrow \text{Landmarks} \cup g_i$ 
     $R \leftarrow \{\text{actions whose effects include } g_i\}$ 
    if  $s_0$  satisfies  $\text{pre}(a)$  for some  $a \in R$  then
        return Landmarks
    generate RPG from  $s_0$  and  $A \setminus R$ , stop when  $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$ 
     $N \leftarrow \{a \in R \mid a \text{ r-applicable in } \hat{s}_k\}$ 
    if  $N = \emptyset$  then
        return failure
    Pre  $\leftarrow \bigcup \{\text{pre}(a) \mid a \in N\} \setminus s_0$ 
     $\Phi \leftarrow [p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_m]_{m \leq 4}, \forall a \in N \exists i : p_i \in \text{pre}(a), \forall i : p_i \in \text{Pre}$ 
    for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$  do
        add  $\varphi$  to queue
return Landmarks

```



RPG-Landmarks(s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

```
queue  $\leftarrow \{g_i \in \mathcal{G} \mid s_0 \text{ doesn't satisfy } g_i\};$ 
Landmarks  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
```


$A \leftarrow$ all actions

while $queue \neq \emptyset$ **do**


...

$queue = \{loc(c1) = r1\}$

$Landmarks = \emptyset$



- $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil$, $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c$, $loc(c) \leftarrow r$
- $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$, $loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil$, $loc(c) \leftarrow l$



RPG-Landmarks(s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

...

while $queue \neq \emptyset$ **do**

remove a g_i from $queue$

$Landmarks \leftarrow Landmarks \cup g_i$

$R \leftarrow \{\text{actions whose effects include } g_i\}$



if s_0 satisfies $\text{pre}(a)$ for some $a \in R$ **then**

return $Landmarks$

$queue = \emptyset$

$Landmarks = \{loc(c1) = r1\}$

$R = \{take(r1, d1, c1),$
 $take(r1, d2, c1),$
 $take(r1, d3, c1)\}$



- $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil$, $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c$, $loc(c) \leftarrow r$
- $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$, $loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil$, $loc(c) \leftarrow l$

RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$)

...

while $queue \neq \emptyset$ **do**

...

generate RPG from s_0 and $A \setminus R$, stop when $\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_{k-1}$

$N \leftarrow \{a \in R \mid a \text{ } r\text{-applicable in } \hat{s}_k\}$

if $N = \emptyset$ **then**

return failure


$queue = \emptyset$

$Landmarks = \{loc(c1) = r1\}$

$R = \{take(r1, d1, c1),$
 $take(r1, d2, c1),$
 $take(r1, d3, c1)\}$

$N = \{take(r1, d1, c1)\}$

- $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil$,
 $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c$,
 $loc(c) \leftarrow r$
- $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$,
 $loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil$,
 $loc(c) \leftarrow l$



RPG using $A \setminus R$

$take(r1, c1, d1)$

RPG-Landmarks (s_0 , $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}\}$)

...

while $queue \neq \emptyset$ **do**

...

$Pre \leftarrow \bigcup \{pre(a) \mid a \in N\} \setminus s_0$

$\Phi \leftarrow \{p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_m \mid m \leq 4, \forall a \in N \ \exists i : p_i \in pre(a), \forall i : p_i \in Pre\}$

for each $\varphi \in \Phi$ **do**

add φ to $queue$

$queue = \{loc(c1) = d1\}$

$Landmarks = \{loc(c1) = r1\}$

$R = \{take(r1, d1, c1),$
 $take(r1, d2, c1),$
 $take(r1, d3, c1)\}$

$N = \{take(r1, d1, c1)\}$

- $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil, loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c, loc(c) \leftarrow r$
- $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil, loc(c) \leftarrow l$

$take(r1, d1, c1)$

pre: $cargo(r1) = nil,$

$loc(r1) = d1,$


$loc(c1) = d1$

satisfied in \hat{s}_0

add to $queue$

Landmark Heuristic

- Every solution to the problem needs to achieve all the computed landmarks
- One possible heuristic:
 - $h^{sl}(s)$ = number of landmarks returned by RPG-Landmarks
 - Is this heuristic admissible?
 - No



$g = \{g_1, g_2\}$
Two landmarks: g_1, g_2
Optimal plan: $\langle a_1 \rangle$, length = 1

- There are other more-advanced landmark heuristics
 - Some of them are admissible
 - Check textbook for references

Intermediate Summary

- Heuristic functions
 - Straight-line distance example
 - Delete-relaxation heuristics
 - relaxed states, γ^+ , h^+ , HFF, h^{FF}
 - Disjunctive landmarks, RPG-Landmark, h^{sl}
 - Get necessary actions by making RPG for all non-relevant actions

Outline per the Book

2.1 *State-variable representation*

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 *Forward state-space search*

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 *Heuristic functions*

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 *Incorporating planning into an actor*

- Online lookahead, unexpected events

2.4 *Backward search*

- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state

2.5 *Plan-space search*


- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

Incorporating Planning into an Actor

- Plans are abstract
 - Need additional refinement
 - (Chapter 3)

*The best laid schemes o' mice
an' men,
Gang aft agley.*
—Robert Burns


- Plans don't always work
 - What to do about it?



Service Robot

- $s_0 = \{loc(r1) = loc3, loc(o7) = loc1, cargo(r1) = nil\}$
- $g = \{loc(o7) = loc2\}$
- $\pi = \langle a1, a2, a3, a4, a5 \rangle$
 - $a1 = go(r1, loc3, hall)$
 - $a2 = navigate(r1, hall, loc1)$
 - $a3 = take(r1, loc1, o7)$
 - $a4 = navigate(r1, loc1, loc2)$
 - $a5 = put(r1, loc2, o7)$
- $go(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $adj(l, m), loc(r) = l$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $navigate(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $\neg adj(l, m), loc(r) = l$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $take(r, l, o)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, loc(o) = l, cargo(r) = nil$
 - eff: $loc(o) \leftarrow r, cargo(r) \leftarrow o$

What are possible issues?



Using Planning in Acting


- Lookahead is the planner
- Receding horizon:
 - Call Lookahead, obtain π , perform 1st action, call Lookahead again ...
 - Like game-tree search (chess, checkers, etc.)
- Useful when unpredictable things are likely to happen
 - Re-plans immediately
- Potential problem:
 - May pause repeatedly while waiting for Lookahead to return
 - What if ξ changes during the wait?

Run-Lookahead(Σ, g)

```

while  $s \leftarrow$  abstraction of
      observed state  $\xi \neq g$  do
   $\pi \leftarrow$  Lookahead( $\Sigma, s, g$ )
  if  $\pi =$  failure then
    return failure
   $a \leftarrow$  pop-first-action( $\pi$ )
  perform  $a$ 

```



Using Planning in Acting

- Call Lookahead, execute the plan as far as possible, don't call Lookahead again unless necessary
- Simulate tests whether the plan will execute correctly
 - Could just compute $\gamma(s, \pi)$, or could do something more detailed
 - Lower-level refinement, physics-based simulation
- Potential problems
 - May might miss opportunities to replace π with a better plan
 - Without Simulate, may not detect problems until it is too late

```

Run-Lazy-Lookahead( $\Sigma, g$ )
   $s \leftarrow$  abstraction of
    observed state  $\xi$ 
  while  $s \neq g$  do
     $\pi \leftarrow$  Lookahead( $\Sigma, s, g$ )
    if  $\pi =$  failure then
      return failure
    while  $\pi \neq \langle \rangle$  and  $s \neq g$  and
      Simulate( $\Sigma, s, g, \pi$ )
         $\neq$  failure do
           $a \leftarrow$  pop-first-action( $\pi$ )
          perform  $a$ 
           $s \leftarrow$  abstraction of
            observed state  $\xi$ 
  
```



Using Planning in Acting

- May detect opportunities earlier than Run-Lazy-Lookahead
 - But may miss some that Run-Lookahead would find
- Without Simulate, may fail to detect problems until it is too late
 - Not as bad at this as Run-Lazy-Lookahead
 - Possible work-around: restart Lookahead each time s changes

```
Run-Concurrent-Lookahead( $\Sigma, g$ )
   $\pi \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
   $s \leftarrow$  abstraction of
    observed state  $\xi$ 
    // thread 1 + 2 run concurrently
thread 1:
  loop
     $\pi \leftarrow$  Lookahead( $\Sigma, s, g$ )
thread 2:
  loop
    if  $s \models g$  then
      return success
    else if  $\pi =$  failure then
      return failure
    else if  $\pi \neq \langle \rangle$  and  $s \not\models g$  and
      Simulate( $\Sigma, s, g, \pi$ )
         $\neq$  failure then
           $a \leftarrow$  pop-first-action( $\pi$ )
          perform  $a$ 
           $s \leftarrow$  abstraction of
            observed state  $\xi$ 
```

How to do Lookahead

- **Subgoaling**

- Instead of planning for g , plan for a subgoal g'
- Once g' is achieved, plan for next subgoal


- **Receding horizon**

- Return a plan that goes just part-way to g'
- E.g., cut off search at
 - every plan whose cost exceeds some value c_{max}
 - or whose length exceeds some value l_{max}
 - or when no time is left
- Horizon recedes on the actor's successive calls to the planner

- **Sampling**

- Try a few (e.g., randomly chosen) depth-first rollouts, take the one that looks best

- Can use combinations of these



Receding-Horizon Search

- After line (i), put something like these:
 - *cost-based cutoff*:

```
if cost( $\pi$ ) +  $h(s) > c_{max}$ 
    then
        return  $\pi$ 
```

- *length-based cutoff*:

```
if  $|\pi| > l_{max}$  then
    return  $\pi$ 
```

- *time-based cutoff*:

```
if time-left() = 0 then
    return  $\pi$ 
```

Deterministic-Search (Σ, s_0, g)


```
Frontier  $\leftarrow \{ (\langle \rangle, s_0) \}$ 
Expanded  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
while  $Frontier \neq \emptyset$  do
    select a node  $v = (\pi, s) \in Frontier$  (i)
    remove  $v$  from  $Frontier$ 
    add  $v$  to  $Expanded$ 
    if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
        return  $\pi$ 
    Children  $\leftarrow$ 
         $\{ (\pi.a, \gamma(s, a)) \mid s \text{ satisfies } pre(a) \}$ 
    prune 0 or more nodes from
         $Children, Frontier, Expanded$  (ii)
     $Frontier \leftarrow Frontier \cup Children$ 
return failure
```

Partial or Non-optimal Plans

- Sampling
 - Planner is a modified version of greedy algorithm
 - Make randomized choice at (i)
 - Run several times, get several solutions
 - Return best one
- Actor calls the planner repeatedly as it acts
 - An analogous technique is used in the game of Go

```

Greedy( $\Sigma, s_0, g, Visited$ )
  if  $s$  satisfies  $g$  then
    return  $\pi$ 
   $Act \leftarrow \{a \in A \mid s \text{ satisfies } pre(a) \text{ and}$ 
     $\gamma(s, a) \notin Visited\}$ 
  if  $Act = \emptyset$  then
    return failure
   $a \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in Act} h(\gamma(s, a))$  (i)
   $\pi \leftarrow \text{Greedy}(\Sigma, \gamma(s, a), g, Visited \cup \{s\})$ 
  if  $\pi \neq \text{failure}$  then
    return  $a.\pi$ 
  return failure
  
```



Planning stage
Acting stage

Intermediate Summary

- Incorporating Planning into an actor
 - Things that can go wrong while acting
 - Algorithms
 - Run-Lookahead
 - Run-Lazy-Lookahead
 - Run-Concurrent-Lookahead
 - Lookahead
 - Subgoaling
 - Receding-horizon search
 - Sampling

Outline per the Book

2.1 *State-variable representation*

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 *Forward state-space search*

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 *Heuristic functions*

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 *Incorporating planning into an actor*

- Online lookahead, unexpected events

2.4 *Backward search*


- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state

2.5 *Plan-space search*

- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

Backward Search

- Forward search starts at the initial state
 - Choose applicable action
 - Compute state transition $s' = \gamma(s, a)$
- Backward search starts at the goal
 - Chooses **relevant** action
 - A possible “last action” before the goal
 - Computes **inverse** state transition $g' = \gamma^{-1}(g, a)$
 - g' = properties a state s' should satisfy in order for $\gamma(s', a)$ to satisfy g
- Sometimes has a lower branching factor
 - Forward: 7 applicable actions
 - five load actions, two move actions
 - Backward: $g = \{loc(r1) = d3\}$
 - two relevant actions:
 $move(r1, d1, d3)$,
 $move(r1, d2, d3)$



Relevance


- Idea: when can a be useful as the last action of a plan π for achieving g ?
 - a can make at least one atom in g true that wasn't true already
 - a doesn't make any part of g false

Formally,


- a is **relevant** for $g = \{x_1 = c_1, \dots, x_k = c_k\}$ if
 - at least one atom in g is also in $eff(a)$
 - i.e., g contains $x = c$ and $eff(a)$ contains $x \leftarrow c$
 - for every atom $x = c$ in g
 - a doesn't make $x = c$ false
 - i.e., $eff(a)$ doesn't contain $x \leftarrow c'$ for some $c' \neq c$
 - if $pre(a)$ requires $x = c$ to be false, then $eff(a)$ makes it true
 - i.e., if $pre(a)$ contains $x \neq c$ or $x = c'$, then $eff(a)$ contains $x \leftarrow c$

Relevance

- $adj = \{(d1, d2), (d1, d3), (d2, d1), (d2, d3), (d3, d1), (d3, d2)\}$
- $s = \{loc(c1) = d1, loc(c2) = d1, loc(c3) = d1, loc(r1) = d2, cargo(r1) = nil, loc(r2) = d2, cargo(r2) = nil\}$
- $g = \{loc(c1) = r1, loc(r1) = d3\}$
- For each action below, is it relevant for g ?
 - $take(r1, d1, c1)$
 - $take(r1, d2, c1)$
 - $put(r2, d3, c1)$
 - $move(r1, d1, d3)$
 - $move(r1, d3, d1)$
 - $move(r1, d2, d3)$




- $move(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, adj(l, m)$
 - eff: $loc(r) \leftarrow m$
- $take(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $cargo(r) = nil, loc(r) = l, loc(c) = l$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow c, loc(c) \leftarrow r$
- $put(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $loc(r) = l, loc(c) = r$
 - eff: $cargo(r) \leftarrow nil, loc(c) \leftarrow l$
- Ranges
 - $\mathcal{R}(r) = Robots = \{r1, r2\}$
 - $\mathcal{R}(l) = \mathcal{R}(m) = Locs = \{d1, d2, d3\}$
 - $\mathcal{R}(c) = Containers = \{c1, c2, c3\}$



Inverse State Transitions

- If a is relevant for g , then
 $\gamma^{-1}(g, a)$
 $= \text{pre}(a) \cup (g - \text{eff}(a))$
- If a isn't relevant for g , then
 $\gamma^{-1}(g, a)$ is undefined
- Example:
 - $g = \{\text{loc}(c1) = r1\}$
 - What is $\gamma^{-1}(g, \text{take}(r1, d3, c1))$?
 - What is $\gamma^{-1}(g, \text{take}(r2, d1, c1))$?

- $\text{move}(r, l, m)$
 - pre: $\text{loc}(r) = l, \text{adj}(l, m)$
 - eff: $\text{loc}(r) \leftarrow m$
- $\text{take}(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $\text{cargo}(r) = \text{nil}, \text{loc}(r) = l, \text{loc}(c) = l$
 - eff: $\text{cargo}(r) \leftarrow c, \text{loc}(c) \leftarrow r$
- $\text{put}(r, l, c)$
 - pre: $\text{loc}(r) = l, \text{loc}(c) = r$
 - eff: $\text{cargo}(r) \leftarrow \text{nil}, \text{loc}(c) \leftarrow l$



Backward Search

- Cycle checking:
 - After line (i), put
 $Solved \leftarrow \{g\}$
 - After line (ii), put
 - either this:


```
if  $g \in Solved$  then
                  return failure
               $Solved \leftarrow Solved \cup \{g\}$ 
```
 - or this:



```
if  $\exists g' \in Solved$  s.t.  $g \subseteq g'$  then
                  return failure
               $Solved \leftarrow Solved \cup \{g\}$ 
```
- With cycle checking, sound and complete
 - If (Σ, s_0, g_0) is solvable, then at least one of the execution traces will find a solution

Backward-search (Σ, s_0, g_0)

```



 $g \leftarrow g_0$ 
 $\pi \leftarrow \langle \rangle$  (i)
loop
  if  $s_0$  satisfies  $g$  then
    return  $\pi$ 
   $A' \leftarrow \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is relevant for } g\}$ 
  if  $A' = \emptyset$  then
    return failure
  nondeterministically choose  $a \in A'$ 
   $g \leftarrow \gamma^{-1}(g, a)$ 
   $\pi \leftarrow a.\pi$  (ii)

```



Branching Factor

- Motivation for Backward-search was to reduce the branching factor
 - As written, doesn't accomplish that
- Solve this by **lifting**:
 - When possible, leave variables uninstantiated



$$move(r1, y, d3) \xleftarrow{\gamma^{-1}} g = \{loc(r1) = d3\}$$

Lifted Backward Search

- Like Backward-search but much smaller branching factor
 - Keep track of what values were substituted for which parameters
 - I won't discuss the details
 - Plan-space planning (later) does something similar

Backward-search (Σ, s_0, g_0)

```

 $g \leftarrow g_0$ 
 $\pi \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
loop
  if  $s_0$  satisfies  $g$  then
    return  $\pi$ 
   $A' \leftarrow \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is relevant for } g\}$ 
  if  $A' = \emptyset$  then
    return failure
  nondeterministically choose  $a \in A'$ 
   $g \leftarrow \gamma^{-1}(g, a)$ 
   $\pi \leftarrow a.\pi$ 

```

Lifted-Backward-search (\mathcal{A}, s_0, g)

```

 $\pi \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
loop
  if  $s_0$  satisfies  $g$  then
    return  $\pi$ 
   $A \leftarrow \{(a, \theta) \mid a \text{ is a standardisation of an action template in } \mathcal{A},$ 
     $\theta \text{ is an mgu for an atom of } g \text{ and an atom of } \text{eff}^+(a), \text{ and}$ 
     $\gamma^{-1}(\theta(g), \theta(a)) \text{ is defined}\}$ 
  if  $A = \emptyset$  then
    return failure
  nondeterministically choose  $(a, \theta) \in A$ 
   $g \leftarrow \gamma^{-1}(\theta(g), \theta(a))$ 

```

Intermediate Summary

- Backward State-space Search
 - Relevance, inverse state transition γ^{-1}
 - Backward search, cycle checking
 - Lifted backward search (briefly)

Outline per the Book

2.1 *State-variable representation*

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 *Forward state-space search*

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 *Heuristic functions*

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 *Incorporating planning into an actor*

- Online lookahead, unexpected events

2.4 *Backward search*

- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state

2.5 *Plan-space search*





- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

Plan-Space Search

- Formulate planning as a constraint satisfaction problem
 - Use constraint-satisfaction techniques to produce solutions that are more flexible than ordinary plans
 - E.g., plans in which the actions are partially ordered
 - Postpone ordering decisions until the plan is being executed
 - The actor may have a better idea about which ordering is best
 - First step toward temporal planning (Chapter 4 in book)
- Basic idea:
 - Backward search from the goal
 - Each node of the search space is a **partial plan** that contains **flaws**
 - Remove the flaws by making **refinements**
 - If successful, we will get a **partially ordered** solution

Definitions

- **Partially ordered plan**
 - Partially ordered set of nodes
 - Each node contains an action
- **Partially ordered solution**
 - Partially ordered plan π such that every total ordering of π is a solution
- **Partial plan**
 - Partially ordered set of nodes that contain **partially instantiated** actions
 - **Inequality constraints**
 - e.g. $z \neq x$ or $w \neq p1$
 - **Causal links** (dashed arcs)
 - Use action a to establish precondition p of action b




Flaws: 1. Open Goals

- A precondition p of an action b is an **open goal** if there is no causal link for p
- Resolve the flaw by creating a causal link
 - Find an action a (either already in π , or can add it to π) that can establish p
 - Can precede b
 - Can have p as an effect
 - Do substitutions on variables to make a assert p
 - E.g., replace x with y
 - Add an ordering constraint $a \prec b$
 - Create a causal link from a to p



Flaws: 2. Threats

- Suppose we have a causal link from action a to precondition p of action b
- Action c **threatens** the link if c may affect p and may come between a and b
 - c is a threat even if it makes p true rather than false
 - Causal link means a , not c , is supposed to establish p for b
 - Plan in which c establishes p will be generated on another path in the search space
- Three possible ways to resolve the flaw:
 - Make $c \prec a$
 - Make $b \prec c$
 - Add inequality constraints to prevent c from affecting p



PSP Algorithm

- Initial plan is always $\{Start, Finish\}$ with $Start < Finish$
 - Start
 - No preconditions
 - Effects: atoms in s_0
 - Finish
 - Preconditions: atoms in g
 - No effects
- PSP is sound and complete
 - Returns a partially ordered plan π s.t. any total ordering of π will achieve g
 - In some environments, could execute actions in parallel

```

PSP( $\Sigma, \pi$ )
  loop
    if  $Flaws(\pi) = \emptyset$  then
      return  $\pi$ 
    arbitrarily select  $f \in Flaws(\pi)$ 
     $R \leftarrow \{\text{all feasible resolvers for } f\}$ 
    if  $R = \emptyset$  then
      return failure
    nondeterministically choose  $\rho \in R$ 
     $\pi \leftarrow \rho(\pi)$ 
  
```



Example

- Finish has two open goals:
 $\text{pos}(a)=d, \text{pos}(b)=c$


```

loop
  if Flaws( $\pi$ ) =  $\emptyset$  then
    return  $\pi$ 
  arbitrarily select  $f \in \text{Flaws}(\pi)$ 
   $R \leftarrow \{\text{all feasible resolvers for } f\}$ 
  if  $R = \emptyset$  then
    return failure
  nondeterministically choose  $\rho \in R$ 
   $\pi \leftarrow \rho(\pi)$ 


```

$\text{move}(c, y, z)$
 pre: $\text{pos}(c)=y, \text{clear}(c)=T, \text{clear}(z)=T$
 eff: $\text{pos}(c) \leftarrow z, \text{clear}(y) \leftarrow T, \text{clear}(z) \leftarrow F$ $\text{pos}(a)=d$ $\text{pos}(b)=c$
 $\mathcal{R}(c) = \text{Containers}$
 $\mathcal{R}(y) = \mathcal{R}(z) = \text{Container} \cup \text{pallets}$

Start



Finish






Example

- For each open goal, add a new action

- Every new action a must have Start $\prec a \prec$ Finish



Start

clear(p1)=T clear(p2)=T clear(p3)=F clear(p4)=F
 clear(a)=F clear(b)=F clear(c)=T clear(d)=T
 pos(a)=p3 pos(b)=p4 pos(c)=b pos(d)=a




Example

- Resolve four open goals using the Start action
 - substitute $y_1=p3$, $y_2=p4$




Example

- New action to resolve open goal
- 1st threat has one resolver: $z_3 \neq d$
- 2nd threat has two resolvers:
 - $\text{move}(b, p4, c) \leftarrow \text{move}(x_3, a, z_3)$
 - $z_3 \neq c$
 $\text{clear}(z_3) = T$ $\text{clear}(x_3) = T$ $\text{pos}(x_3) = a$




Example

- Threats resolved



Example



- 1st threat has two resolvers:
 - An ordering constraint, and $z_4 \neq d$
- 2nd threat has three resolvers:
 - Two ordering constraints, and $z_4 \neq a$
- 3rd threat has one: $z_4 \neq c$



Example

- Resolve the three threats using inequality constraints

$$\begin{array}{l} z_3 \neq c \\ z_3 \neq d \\ z_4 \neq a \\ z_4 \neq c \\ z_4 \neq d \end{array}$$






Example

- Resolve five open goals using the Start action

- substitute


$$x_3=d, x_4=c, z_3=p1$$



Example


- Threatened causal link
- Resolvers:

- $\text{move}(d, a, p1) \leftarrow \text{move}(c, b, z_4)$
- $z_4 \neq p1$





Example

- Threat resolved






Example

- Resolve open goal using the Start action
 - substitute $z_4 = p2$
- No more flaws, so we're done!



Example

- PSP returns this solution:






Example 2

- Go back to the last threat, choose the other resolver:


- $move(d, a, p1) \leftarrow move(c, b, z_4)$
- $z_4 \neq p1$

$z_4 \neq a$
 $z_4 \neq c$
 $z_4 \neq d$




Example 2

- Threat resolved






Example 2

- Resolve open goal
 - substitute $z_4 = p2$
- No more flaws, so we're done



Example 2

- Like previous solution, but has another ordering constraint




Node-selection Heuristics

- Analogy to constraint-satisfaction problems (CSPs)
 - Resolving a flaw in PSP
≈ assigning a value to a variable in a CSP
- What flaw to work on next?
 - **Fewest Alternatives First (FAF)**
 - Choose a flaw having the fewest resolvers
≈ Minimum Remaining Values (MRV) heuristic for CSPs
- To resolve the flaw, which resolver to try first?
 - **Least Constraining Resolver (LCR)**
 - Choose a resolver that rules out the fewest resolvers for the other flaws
≈ Least Constraining Value (LCV) heuristic for CSPs

Example

- Fewest Alternatives First:
 - 1st threat has two resolvers: an ordering constraint, and $z_4 \neq d$
 - 2nd threat has three resolvers: 2 ordering constraints, and $z_4 \neq a$
 - 3rd threat has one resolver: $z_4 \neq c$
- So resolve the 3rd threat first




Node-selection Heuristics

- In PSP, introducing a new action introduces new flaws to resolve
 - The plan can get arbitrarily large; want it to be as small as possible
 - Not like CSPs, where the search tree always has a fixed depth
 - Avoid introducing new actions unless necessary
- To choose between actions a and b , estimate distance from s_0 to $Pre(a)$ and $Pre(b)$
 - Can use the heuristic functions we discussed earlier

Discussion

- Problem: how to prune infinitely long paths in the search space?
 - Loop detection is based on recognizing states or goals we have seen before
 - In a partially ordered plan, we do not know the states
- Can we prune if π contains the same *action* more than once?
 - $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_1, \dots \rangle$
 - No. Sometimes we might need the same action several times in different states of the world
 - E.g., Towers of Hanoi problem
 - Do this action many times:
 - stack disk1 onto disk2

$\dots \longrightarrow s \longrightarrow s' \longrightarrow s$



A Weak Pruning Technique

- Can prune all partial plans of n or more actions, where $n = |S|$
 - Not very helpful

“I’m not sure whether there’s a good pruning technique for plan-space planning.”

– Dana Nau

Intermediate Summary

- Plan-space Search
 - Partially ordered plans and solutions
 - partial plans, causal links
 - flaws: open goals, threats, resolvers
 - PSP algorithm, long example, node-selection heuristics

Summary

2.1 State-variable representation

- State = {values of variables}; action = changes to those values

2.2 Forward state-space search

- Start at initial state, look for sequence of actions that achieve goal

2.3 Heuristic functions

- How to guide a forward state-space search

2.6 Incorporating planning into an actor

- Online lookahead, unexpected events

2.4 Backward search

- Start at goal state, go backwards toward initial state

2.5 Plan-space search

- Start with incomplete plan for getting from initial state to goal state, make transformations to fix flaws in the plan

⇒ Next: Planning and Acting with Refinement Methods