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## Applications of Link Prediction on Graphs

- Who are/will become friends?
- Who will collaborate in drug racketeering?
- Which products to recommend to which persons?
- Are there unknown commonalities between species?
- Where will new protein interactions show up?


## Informal Definitions

- Link Prediction Problem
- Given a snapshot of a network, can we infer which new interactions among its nodes are likely to occur in the near future?
- Link Completion Problem
- If the network is known to be incomplete, can we infer which interactions are possibly missing (and should be added)?
- Then, solve link prediction problem on completed data
- Both problems to be formalized based on "proximity" of nodes in a network


## The Intuition

- In many networks, people who are "close" belong to the same social circles and will inevitably encounter one another and become linked themselves.
- Link prediction heuristics measure how "close" people are


Red nodes are close to each other


Red nodes are more distant

## Challenges

- Data is usually sparse
- Missing data/relationships
- Imbalance
- So many possibilities, so few choices
- III-posed problem
- Low accuracy in practice
- Accuracy vs. scalability
- Modeling (unobserved/unknown factors)
- Tasks of approximation/optimization


## Graph distance \& Common Neighbors

- Graph distance: (Negated) length
 of shortest path between $x$ and $y$

| $(A, C)$ | -2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(C, D)$ | -2 |
| $(A, E)$ | -3 |

- Common Neighbors: A and C have 2 common neighbors, more likely to collaborate

$$
\operatorname{score}(x, y):=|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|
$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ denotes the neighbors of $x$

## Preferential Attachment

- Preferential Attachment: Probability that a new collaboration involves x is proportional to $\Gamma(x)$, the current neighbors of $x$
- score $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=|\Gamma(x)| \cdot|\Gamma(y)|$


## Hitting time, PageRank

- Hitting time: expected number of steps for a random walk starting at x to reach y
- Commute time: $-\left(H_{x, y}+H_{y, x}\right)$
- If $y$ has a large stationary probability, $H_{x, y}$ is small. To counterbalance, we can normalize

$$
\operatorname{score}(x, y):=-\left(H_{x, y} \cdot \pi_{y}+H_{y, x} \cdot \pi_{x}\right)
$$

- Rooted PageRank: to cut down on long random walks, walk can return to $x$ with a probablity $\alpha$ at every step $y$


## SimRank

Defined by this recursive definition: two nodes are similar to the extent that they are joined by similar neighbors

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{similarity}(x, y):=\gamma \cdot \frac{\sum_{a \in \Gamma(x)} \sum_{b \in \Gamma(y)} \text { similarity }(a, b)}{|\Gamma(x)| \cdot|\Gamma(y)|} \\
\operatorname{score}(x, y):=\operatorname{similarity}(x, y)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Link Prediction



Does network structure contain enough information to predict what new links will form in the future?

## Link Prediction using Collaborative Filtering

|  | Item 1 | Item 2 | Item 3 | Item 4 | Item 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | $?$ | 2 | 7 |
| User 2 |  | $?$ | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| User 3 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| User 4 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 8 |
| User 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 6 | ? |
| $\text { User } 6$ |  | 3 | 8 | 3 | 7 |

## Link Prediction using Collaborative Filtering

- Memory-based Approach
- User-based approach [Twitter]
- Item-based approach [Amazon \& Youtube]
- Model-based Approach
- Latent Factor Model [Google News]
- Hybrid Approach


## Memory-based Approach

- Few modeling assumptions
- Few tuning parameters to learn
- Easy to explain to users
- Dear Amazon.com Customer, We've noticed that customers who have purchased or rated How Does the Show Go On: An Introduction to the Theater by Thomas Schumacher have also purchased Princess Protection Program \#1: A Royal Makeover (Disney Early Readers).


## Algorithms: User-Based Algorithms (Breese et al, UA198)

- $v_{i, j}=$ vote of user ion item $j$
- $I_{i}=$ items for which user ihas voted
- Mean vote for iis

$$
\bar{v}_{i}=\frac{1}{\left|I_{i}\right|} \sum_{j \in I_{i}} v_{i, j}
$$



- Predicted vote for "active user" a is weighted sum



## Algorithms: User-Based Algorithms (Breese et al, Ual198)

- K-nearest neighbor

$$
w(a, i)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1 & \text { if } i \in \operatorname{neighbors}(a) \\
0 & \text { else }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Pearson correlation coefficient (Resnick ' 94, Grouplens):

$$
w(a, i)=\frac{\sum_{j}\left(v_{a, j}-\bar{v}_{a}\right)\left(v_{i, j}-\bar{v}_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{j}\left(v_{a, j}-\bar{v}_{a}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(v_{i, j}-\bar{v}_{i}\right)^{2}}}
$$

- Cosine distance (from IR)

$$
w(a, i)=\sum_{j} \frac{v_{a, j}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k \in I_{a}} v_{a, k}^{2}}} \frac{v_{i, j}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k \in I_{i}} v_{i, k}^{2}}}
$$

## Algorithm: Amazon's Method

- Item-based Approach
- Similar with user-based approach but is on the item side


Item-based CF Example: infer (user 1, item 3)

|  | Item 1 | Item 2 | Item 3 | Item 4 | Item 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User 1 | 8 | 1 | $?$ | 2 | 7 |
| User 2 | 2 | $?$ | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| User 3 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| Use | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 8 |
| User 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 6 | $?$ |
| User 6 AT | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 7 |

## How to Calculate Similarity (Item 3 and Item 5)?



## Similarity between Items

| Item 3 | Item 4 | Item 5 <br> $?$ <br> 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 2 | 7 |
| 7 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 8 | 3 | $?$ |

- How similar are items 3 and 5?
- How to calculate their similarity?


## Similarity between items



- Only consider users who have rated both items
- For each user:

Calculate difference in ratings for the two items

- Take the average of this difference over the users

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sim}(\text { item } 3, \text { item } 5)=\operatorname{cosine}((5,7,7,8),(5,7,8,7)) \\
& =\left(5^{*} 5+7^{*} 7+7^{*} 8+8^{*} 7\right) / \\
& \left(\operatorname{sqrt}\left(5^{2}+7^{2}+7^{2}+8^{2}\right)^{*} \operatorname{sqrt}\left(5^{2}+7^{2}+8^{2}+7^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Can also use Pearson Correlation

Coefficients as in user-based approaches

## Prediction: Calculating ranking r(user1,item3)



## Algorithm: Youtube's Method

- Youtube also adopt item-based approach
- Adding more useful features
- Num. of views
- Num. of likes
- etc.


## Link Prediction: Summary

- Link prediction is the underlying problem in many applications
- No methods fits all purposes
- Need to carefully evaluate a method in a practical setting
- Methods are hard to analyze theoretically, but see
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